PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. **Application Number** 10/058 684 Filing Date TRANSMITTAL January 28, 2002 First Named Inventor Baoxin Li **FORM** Art Unit 2173 **Examiner Name** Zhou, Ting (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number SCK7146.0125/SLA1067 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Drawing(s) Fee Transmittal Form Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Fee Attached Appeal Communication to TC Petition (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information Provisional Application After Final Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify **Terminal Disclaimer** below): **Extension of Time Request** Response to Restriction Requirement; Request for Refund **Express Abandonment Request** Confirmation Postcard. CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Remarks Document(s) To avoid any delays in filing the enclosed, the Commissioner is authorized to charge payment to Reply to Missing Parts/ any required fees not included herewith, or credit any overpayment related thereto, to Deposit Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts Account No. 03-1550. A duplicate copy of this transmittal is enclosed. under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Chernoff Vilhauer M Signature Printed name Scott C. Kriege Reg. No. Date 42,768 January/21, 200

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

the date shown below: Signature

Typed or printed name Scott C. Kneger

Date January 21, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE PATENT APPLICATION EXAMINING OPERATIONS

Appl. No.

: 10/058,684

Confirmation No. 4058

Applicant

: Baoxin Li

Filed TC/A.U.

: January 28, 2002: Art Unit 2173

Examiner

: Zhou, Ting

Docket No.

: SCK7146.0125/SLA1067

Customer No.

: 00152

Title

: SUMMARIZATION OF SUMO VIDEO CONTENT

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Chernoff, Vilhauer, McClung & Stenzel, LLP 1600 ODS Tower 601 S.W. Second Avenue Portland, OR 97204 (503) 227-5631

January 21, 2005

Box Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Commissioner:

In response to the Office Action and Restriction Requirement of December 21, 2004, applicant makes a provisional election of Invention I, incorporating claims 1 - 17, drawn to what the examiner refers to as a "sumo video game creation method," currently classified in class 463, subclass 1. These claims are presented beginning on page 3 of this paper.

However, the applicant believes this restriction should be partially traversed by combining Invention I and II into a single application, while reserving Inventions III and IV for subsequent divisional applications.

The applicant notes that independent claims 1, 7, 11 and 18, 22, 27, 31, 34, 35, 36, 39, 42, 45, 46, 48, & 61, and dependent claims related thereto, are all addressed to "A method of processing a video including sumo comprising" ... "identifying ... segments of said video" and ... "creating a summarization." None of these claims are directed to a "video game" and none should be classified in Class 463. All of these claims are directed to very similar subject matter involving identification of the content of video segments, namely sumo wrestling events, and compiling the video segments containing the desired content into a summary video that excludes other video segments.

Appl. No. 10/058,684
Amdt. dated January 21, 2005
Reply to Office action of December 21, 2004

If the examiner accepts this reasoning, applicant elects current Inventions I & II including claims 1-49 and 61.

In view of the Examiner's restriction requirement, applicant retains the right to present claims 50-60 and 62-64 in separate divisional applications.

Respectfully submitted,

CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL, LLP

Scott C. Krieger

Reg. Mo. 42,768

Tel No.: (503) 227 563